IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

SAMUEL R. REYNA,	8	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	NO. C-06-438
	§	
319 TH DISTRICT COURT,	§	
Respondent.	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Petitioner is an inmate at the Nueces County Jail. Proceeding *pro se* and *in forma* pauperis, petitioner filed a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his incarceration (D.E. 1). Pending is petitioner's motion requesting the appointment of counsel (D.E. 2).

There is no constitutional right to counsel in federal habeas proceedings. *Johnson v. Hargett*, 978 F.2d 855 (5th Cir. 1992). Rule 8 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases requires that counsel be appointed if the habeas petition raises issues which mandate an evidentiary hearing. Respondent has not been served, and has not yet filed a responsive pleading. Scheduling an evidentiary hearing and appointing counsel at this time would be premature.

Counsel will be assigned *sua sponte* if there are issues which mandate an evidentiary hearing. Moreover, counsel may be assigned if discovery is ordered and issues necessitating the assignment of counsel are evident. Rule 6(a) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; *Thomas v. Scott*, 47 F.3d 713, 715 n. 1 (5th Cir. 1995).

Accordingly, Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (D.E. 2) is DENIED without prejudice.

ORDERED this 20th day of October, 2006.

B. JANKE ELLINGTON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE